

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/629,370 | 07/31/2000 | Jason Sulak | 18/05085742 | 5426 |
| 23380 | 7590 11/03/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| TUCKER, ELLIS & WEST LLP 1150 HUNTINGTON BUILDING | | | PILLAI, NAMITHA | |
| 925 EUCLII | · - · - · | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| CLEVELAN | ND, OH 44115-1475 | | 2173 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/03/2003 | 1 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| w' | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/629,370 | SULAK ET AL. | , | | | | |
| , navioury rioden | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Namitha Pillai | 2173 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 09 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appet Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this application to the same of th | cation. A proper rep ch places the applic | oly to a cation in | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of | f the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S | See MPEP | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in | fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or | ension fee under (2) as set forth in | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or s | simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE: | ing a corresponding number of | finally rejected clair | ns. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections. | etion(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed | d amendment | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See | | sidered but does NC | OT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | re newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | and an | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | _ | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) approved or b) disap | proved by the Exan | niner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | · , | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | -1/(| | | | | |
| | | JOHN CABECA | | | | | |
| | SUPERVI | SORY PATENT FXAM | IN! | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Rhoads clearly has a browser program, wherein such a program is used to display the browser through which the web site is viewed. Any type of customization done at such a web site, would then fall into modification of the browser program, wherein the customization of the web site in the client computer, with the web site being displayed through a browser program, would suggest the modification of the browser program. Customization of a web site, as stated in Rhoads, clearly suggests editing defining data within the browser program, wherein as stated earlier, the web site which is displayed within the browser through the browser program would be used to edit the defining data to customize the greeting cards. Gennaro discloses that the program being downloaded is used for modifying the website, wherein the data downloaded from the Internet network is this website, which is customized based on the program represented by the applet.